

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/531,449	03/20/2000	Hideki Hirata	0083-1131-0	6033
75	90 10/23/2002			
Oblon Spivak McClelland Maier & Neustadt Fourth Floor 1755 Jefferson Davis Highway			EXAMINER	
			FERGUSON, LAWRENCE D	
Arlington, VA 22202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	<u>/`)</u>
			DATE MAILED: 10/23/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		A \$-
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/531,449	HIRATA, HIDEKI
•	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lawrence D Ferguson	1774
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 02 October 2002 FAILS TO PLAGE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Ap Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of thi ": (1) a timely filed amendm peal (with appeal fee); or (3	is application. A proper reply to a nent which places the application in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or	b)]
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dat b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorte (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set er than SIX MONTHS from the mailit AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH e date on which the petition under 3 dension and the corresponding amount ened statutory period for reply original	ing date of the final rejection. S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee point of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under ally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 cm.)		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:	·
(a) M they raise new issues that would require fu	rther consideration and/or s	search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Not	te below);	
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application</li><li>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	on in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without can	celing a corresponding nun	nber of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		
B. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	jection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitte	ed in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because:		en considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed S	SOLELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendm explanation of how the new or amended claims	ent(s) a)  will not be enters would be rejected is provi	red or b)⊡ will be entered and an ded below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	ws:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to: 14-17.		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>7-13 and 18-21</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
B. The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is a) □ approved or b) □	disapproved by the Examiner.
9.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure State	ment(s)( PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)
10. Other:		CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
		TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant seeks to change the scope of the claim by claiming 'bonding a light transmitting layer to an associated side of the information recording surface' versus 'bonding a light transmitting sheet to an associated side of the supporting substrate'. Bonding a light transmitting layer to a supporting substrate is different from bonding a light transmitting layer to an information recording medium.

CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Cyt Willy